Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

# Conformational isomers of neutral *trans*-dinitrocobalt(III) complexes

#### Hyungphil Chun and Ivan Bernal\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Houston, Houston, TX 77204-5641, USA Correspondence e-mail: ibernal@uh.edu

Received 19 July 2000 Accepted 17 October 2000

The reaction of Co(acac)<sub>3</sub> with *N*-(2-aminoethyl)-1,3-propanediamine in the presence of NaNO<sub>2</sub> results in the preparation of an unexpected dinitrocobalt(III) compound, (11amino-4-methyl-5,8-diazaundeca-2,4-dien-2-olato- $\kappa^4 N^{5,8,11}$ ,*O*)dinitrocobalt(III), [Co(C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O)(NO<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], containing the tetradentate anion of 11-amino-4-methyl-5,8-diazaundeca-2,4-dien-2-ol. Two isomers of the compound were obtained by recrystallization of the crude product. In one isomer, the two *trans* nitro groups are staggered, and in the other they are eclipsed.

#### Comment

Tris(acetylacetonato)cobalt(III), Co(acac)<sub>3</sub>, is a useful starting material for the preparation of various Co<sup>III</sup> complexes. Although the same is true for the anionic complex  $[Co(CO_3)_3]^{3-}$ , Co(acac)<sub>3</sub> has an advantage when there is a need for using organic solvents for the reaction. Also, a coordinated acac ligand can undergo an organic reaction with nucleophilic reagents to generate a new chelating ligand.

The title compounds, (I) (Fig. 1) and (II) (Fig. 2), were obtained while trying to prepare trinitrocobalt(III) complexes with facial configurations by replacing the acac ligands of  $Co(acac)_3$  with a tridentate amine ligand and nitro groups. Instead, a condensation reaction occurred between an NH<sub>2</sub> group of the amine ligand and a carbonyl group of a coordinated acac ligand to give the monoanionic tetradentate ligand.



Compound (I) has two nitro groups in a staggered conformation  $[O2-N4\cdots N5-O5 = 63.7 (2)^{\circ}]$  and appears to be less soluble than compound (II), in which the two nitro groups are almost eclipsed  $[O2-N4\cdots N5-O5 = 13.3 (5)^{\circ}]$ . A similar case is known in which two conformational isomers of *mer*- $[Co(en)(NH_3)(NO_2)_3]$  crystallize with different crystal habits and color (brown and yellow), but in the same space group  $(P2_1/c)$  (Jensen *et al.*, 1970).

The geometry of the tetradentate ligand around the Co atom is quite similar in both structures. For example, N1-C4 [1.298 (3) Å for (I); 1.294 (5) Å for (II)] and C2-C3 [1.367 (4) Å for (I); 1.362 Å for (II)] are considered as double bonds, and the single negative charge is mostly assigned to O1 since the O1–C2 bond [1.289 (3) Å for (I); 1.293 (4) Å for (II)] is rather long for a carbonyl group. Also, the relatively short distance of Co-O1 [1.889 (2) Å for (I); 1.880 (3) Å for (II)] reflects an anionic character of the ligand atom. The distances between cobalt and the NO<sub>2</sub> atoms of (I) are uneven with the difference being  $20\sigma$ ; in (II), the difference is only  $4\sigma$ . No severe distortion is observed in the angular geometry around the octahedral Co atom. The four N-O bond distances in the two nitro groups of (II) fall within the  $3\sigma$ range, with an average of 1.226 (4) Å. In (I), N4–O2 [1.252 (3) Å] is significantly longer than the other three N–O bonds whose average is 1.226(2) Å, probably because of the short intramolecular contact between O2 and the H atom on N2 [1.993 (24) Å].

In the packing structure of (I) (Fig. 3), the two nitro groups, staggered with respect to each other, form hydrogen bonds with  $NH_2$  H atoms of neighboring molecules related by inversion symmetry. This one-dimensional interaction extends along the *c* direction of the unit cell, and no other hydrogen





ORTEPIII (Burnett & Johnson, 1996) view of (I) with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 40% probability level.









#### Figure 3



bonding is found in other directions. The structure can therefore be described as a stack of one-dimensional strings along which the chirality of the molecules alternates. Also, the molecules of (I) are arranged such that the approximate planes of the tetradentate ligand are parallel to one another over the whole structure.



Figure 4 Packing diagram of (II), with the hydrogen bonding indicated.

The packing diagram of (II) shows that there is only one intermolecular hydrogen bond, involving O4 and the H atom on N2  $[d(O \cdot \cdot \cdot H) = 2.15 (4) \text{ Å}]$ . The O atoms of the other nitro group have only very weak interactions with amine H atoms  $[d(O \cdots H) > 2.6 \text{ Å}]$ . As Fig. 4 clearly shows, the hydrogen bond is always formed by a pair of molecules around crystallographic inversion centers, and, unlike the other isomer, the planes of the tetradentate ligands are not parallel, but alternate along the *c* direction.

#### Experimental

An aqueous solution (5 ml) containing NaNO<sub>2</sub> (15 mmol) was added to an acetonitrile solution (25 ml) of Co(acac)<sub>3</sub> (5 mmol) (Bryan & Fernelius, 1957). The solution was mildly heated, and N-(2-aminoethyl)-1,3-propanediamine (10 mmol) was added. After further heating for 30 min, the solution was cooled to room temperature. A dark-brown residue obtained after the solution dried out (3 weeks) was washed with water (20 ml) (yield 45%). The crude product was dissolved in hot water, filtered through charcoal and recrystallized to give rod-shaped crystals of deep-red color, (I). The crystals were collected by filtration. Upon further standing, the filtrate gave darkred brick-shaped crystals of larger size, (II). Analysis found (calculated) for the mixture: C 34.37 (34.39), H 5.77 (5.78), N 19.88% (20.06%). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz, TMS):  $\delta$  (p.p.m.) = 176.97 (C=N), 166.24 (C-O), 96.11 (CH), 52.23, 51.00, 49.83, 39.60, 27.46  $(5 \times CH_2)$ , 25.14, 22.44 (2 × CH<sub>3</sub>).

#### Compound (I)

Crystal data

$[Co(C_{10}H_{20}N_{3}O)(NO_{2})_{2}]$	$D_x = 1.599 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 349.24$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/c$	Cell parameters from 25
a = 7.375(2) Å	reflections
b = 20.065 (3) Å	$\theta = 8.66 - 13.47^{\circ}$
c = 9.8088 (9)  Å	$\mu = 1.213 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 91.717 \ (7)^{\circ}$	T = 293 (2)  K
$V = 1450.8 (4) \text{ Å}^3$	Rod, dark red
Z = 4	$0.60\times0.17\times0.14$ mm
Data collection	
Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffract-	1855 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
ometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.015$
$\omega$ –2 $\theta$ scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 24.96^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: $\psi$ scan	$h = -8 \rightarrow 8$
(NRCVAX ABSORP; Gabe et al.,	$k = 0 \rightarrow 23$

1989)  $T_{\min} = 0.776, \ T_{\max} = 0.844$ 2702 measured reflections 2554 independent reflections

 $l = 0 \rightarrow 11$ 3 standard reflections frequency: 240 min

intensity decay: none

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (I).

Co-O1	1.8886 (15)	Co-N4	1.977 (2)
Co-N1	1.903 (2)	N1-C4	1.298 (3)
Co-N5	1.937 (2)	O1-C2	1.289 (3)
Co-N2	1.962 (2)	C2-C3	1.367 (4)
Co-N3	1.971 (2)	C3-C4	1.416 (4)
O1  Co  N1	96 13 (8)	N5 Co N4	178 10 (8)
$01 - C_0 - N_5$	87 46 (7)	$01 - C^2 - C^3$	176.17(0) 125.7(2)
O1-Co-N2	177.67 (7)	C2 - C3 - C4	126.1(2)
N1-Co-N3	176.48 (8)	N1-C4-C3	122.9 (2)
N1-Co-N4	86.83 (8)		

### metal-organic compounds

## Table 2 Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °) for (I).

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$\begin{array}{c} N3{-}H2N{\cdots}O5^{i}\\ N3{-}H3N{\cdots}O2^{ii} \end{array}$	0.83 (2)	2.45 (2)	3.209 (3)	129 (2)
	0.87 (2)	2.22 (2)	3.080 (3)	169 (2)

Symmetry codes: (i) -x, -y, 1-z; (ii) -x, -y, -z.

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	All H-atom parameters refined
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.026$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0428P)^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.064$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.122	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = -0.004$
2554 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.25 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
270 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.36 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

 $D_x = 1.594 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ 

Cell parameters from 25

1985 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

Mo  $K\alpha$  radiation

reflections

 $\theta = 8.24 - 13.05^{\circ}$ 

T = 293 (2) K

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.039$ 

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 24.99^\circ$ 

 $h = -9 \rightarrow 9$ 

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 15$ 

 $l = 0 \rightarrow 16$ 

3 standard reflections

frequency: 240 min

intensity decay: none

Brick, dark red  $0.52 \times 0.40 \times 0.30$  mm

 $\mu = 1.208 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 

#### Compound (II)

Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & \begin{bmatrix} \text{Co}(\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_{20}\text{N}_3\text{O})(\text{NO}_2)_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ & M_r = 349.24 \\ & \text{Monoclinic, } P_{2_1}/c \\ & a = 7.923 \text{ (3) } \text{\AA} \\ & b = 13.1050 \text{ (10) } \text{\AA} \\ & c = 14.0273 \text{ (13) } \text{\AA} \\ & \beta = 91.908 \text{ (10)}^{\circ} \\ & \mathcal{V} = 1455.7 \text{ (5) } \text{\AA}^3 \\ & Z = 4 \end{split}$$

#### Data collection

Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer  $\omega$ -2 $\theta$  scans Absorption correction:  $\psi$  scan (*NRCVAX ABSORP*; Gabe *et al.*, 1989)  $T_{min} = 0.648, T_{max} = 0.696$ 2672 measured reflections 2568 independent reflections

#### Refinement

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0464P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.032$	+ 2.1606P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.105$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.266	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = -0.002$
2568 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.37 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
270 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.48 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
All H-atom parameters refined	

For both compounds, data collection: *CAD*-4-*PC Software* (Enraf-Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: *CAD*-4-*PC Software*; data reduction: *NRCVAX DATRD*2 (Le Page & Gabe, 1979); program(s) used to

#### Table 3

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (II).

Co-O1	1.880 (3)	Co-N3	1.968 (3)
Co-N1	1.901 (3)	N1-C4	1.294 (5)
Co-N4	1.953 (3)	O1-C2	1.293 (4)
Co-N2	1.956 (3)	C2-C3	1.362 (6)
Co-N5	1.965 (3)	C3-C4	1.423 (6)
O1-Co-N1	96.35 (12)	N1-Co-N3	179.06 (14)
N1-Co-N4	91.19 (13)	O1-C2-C3	126.1 (3)
O1-Co-N2	176.28 (13)	C2-C3-C4	126.1 (4)
O1-Co-N5	88.30 (13)	N1-C4-C3	122.6 (3)
N4-Co-N5	176.66 (13)		
	. ,		

#### Table 4

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °) for (II).

$D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$N2-H1N\cdots O4^{i}$	0.84 (4)	2.15 (4)	2.966 (4)	164 (4)
<b>6</b>				

Symmetry code: (i) -x, -y, -z.

solve structure: *SHELXS*86 (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL*93 (Sheldrick, 1993); molecular graphics: *ORTEP*III (Burnett & Johnson, 1996).

We thank the Robert A. Welch Foundation for support of this research including the fellowship granted to HC. We also thank the National Science Foundation for the funds used in purchasing the diffractometer.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: DA1150). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

Bryan, B. E. & Fernelius, W. C. (1957). Inorg. Synth. 5, 188–189.

Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). ORTEPIII. Report ORNL-6895. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.

Enraf-Nonius (1989). CAD-4-PC Software. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.

- Gabe, E. J., Le Page, Y., Charland, J.-P., Lee, F. L. & White, P. S. (1989). J. Appl. Cryst. 22, 384–387.
- Jensen, K. G., Soling, H. & Thorup, N. (1970). Acta Chem. Scand. 24, 908–918.
- Le Page, Y. & Gabe, E. J. (1979). J. Appl. Cryst. 12, 464-466.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). SHELXL93. University of Göttingen, Germany.